## **EMPLOYMENT APPEALS TRIBUNAL**

APPEAL(S) OF: EMPLOYEE **CASE NO.** RP290/2013

- appellant

against EMPLOYER under

- respondent

## **REDUNDANCY PAYMENTS ACTS, 1967 TO 2007**

I certify that the Tribunal (Division of Tribunal)

Chairman: Mr. D. Mac Carthy S C

Members: Mr. B. Kealy Mr. P. Woods

heard this appeal at Dublin on 29th May 2013

## **Representation:**

Appellant(s) :

Respondent(s) : Not Present or Represented

The decision of the Tribunal was as follows:-

## **Determination:**

The appellant's employment began on 1<sup>st</sup> November 1995. She was absent due to illness from 12<sup>th</sup> May 2008 to the 29<sup>th</sup> October 2008. She returned to work but on the 27<sup>th</sup> January 2009 she was suspended. In High Court proceedings (Record Number 2010/678P) taken by the appellant against the company it was ordered that the company be restrained "from dismissing or taking any disciplinary action against" her, and it was further ordered that the company paid her salary and all emoluments pending the trial of the action. This Order was made on the 1<sup>st</sup> February 2011.

She did not return to work but was continued to be paid on foot of the High Court Order until the 15<sup>th</sup> November 2011 when the company ceased to trade and all employees were made redundant. The company went into liquidation by way of a members' voluntary winding-up.

The Tribunal finds:

1. That the appellant was dismissed by reason of redundancy.

2. That she is entitled to an award based on the following:

Date of Birth:	15 November 1960
Service from:	1 November 1995 to 15 September 2011
Non-reckonable service:	Nil
Normal weekly remuneration:	€ 1,588.99
Amount of redundancy payment:	€ 19,656.00

3. (a) Her absence from the 12<sup>th</sup> May 2008 to the 29<sup>th</sup> October 2008 due to illness being less than 26 weeks is reckonable service under the Act.
(b) The period from the 27<sup>th</sup> January 2009 to the 15<sup>th</sup> September 2011 is reckonable service on foot of the Order of the High Court mentioned above

A ceiling of  $\in$  600 applies to any payments from the Social Insurance Fund and this award issubject to the appellant having been in employment which is insurable for all purposes under the Social Welfare Consolidation Act 2005.

Sealed with the Seal of the

**Employment Appeals Tribunal** 

This \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

(Sgd.)

(CHAIRMAN)