## EMPLOYMENT APPEALS TRIBUNAL

APPEALS OF: EMPLOYER -appellant

CASE NO. TE124/2011 TE125/2011 PW179/2011

against the recommendation of the Rights Commissioner in the case of:

EMPLOYEE 1 -respondent 1

EMPLOYEE 2 -respondent 2

**AND** 

EMPLOYEE 1 -appellant 1

TE121/2011

EMPLOYEE 2-appellant 2

TE122/2011

against the recommendation of the Rights Commissioner in the case of:

EMPLOYER - respondent

under

## PAYMENT OF WAGES ACT, 1991 TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT (INFORMATION) ACT, 1994 AND 2001

I certify that the Tribunal (Division of Tribunal)

Chairman: Mr. P. O'Leary B L

Members: Mr M. Murphy

Mr F. Keoghan

heard this appeal at Trim on 10th December 2012

## **Representation:**

\_\_\_\_\_

Employee 1: No appearance or representation on behalf of appellant 1

Employee 2: In Person

Respondent: Mr. Anthony Byrne B.L. instructed by

Ms Sheena McDermott, Beauparc Business Park, Navan, Co Meath

This case came before the Tribunal by way of an employer and employee appeal of the Rights Commissioner Recommendation ref: r-093896-pw-10/JW under the Payment of Wages Act 1991, r-093894-te-10/JW and r-093842-te-10/JW under the Terms of Employment

(Information) Act 1994.

## **Determination**

The Tribunal are satisfied that employee 1 was properly on notice of this hearing. Neither he nor a representative on his behalf appeared for the hearing. Consequently, the Tribunal upsets the Rights Commissioner Recommendation **r-093896-pw-10/JW** under the Payment of Wages Act 1991 and **r-093894-te-10/JW** under the Terms of Employment (Information) Act 1994 meaning the employer appeal succeeds.

Employee 2 was employed as a driver with the company; he was required to hold a full driving licence. It has transpired that employee 2 provided a fake driving licence to the company and was subsequently charged and convicted for driving with a fake licence. Employee 2 admits that he did provide the company with a fake driving licence. The company submitted and the Tribunal agree that as employee 2 entered into the contract of employment by fraudulent means the contract itself is illegal. The Tribunal upsets the Rights Commissioner Recommendation **ref: r-093842-te-10/JW** under the Terms of Employment (Information) Act 1994 meaning the appeal succeeds.

Sealed with the Seal of the
Employment Appeals Tribunal
This
(Sgd.)(CHAIRMAN)