## EMPLOYMENT APPEALS TRIBUNAL

APPEAL OF: CASE NO. EMPLOYEE -appellant PW92/2011

against the recommendation of the Rights Commissioner in the case of:

EMPLOYER -respondent

under

## **PAYMENT OF WAGES ACT, 1991**

I certify that the Tribunal (Division of Tribunal)

Chairman: Ms P. Clancy

Members: Mr. W. O'Carroll

Ms H. Murphy

heard this appeal at Ennis on 21st September 2012

## **Representation:**

\_\_\_\_\_

Appellant: In Person

Respondent: No appearance or representation on behalf of the respondent

This case came before the Tribunal by way of an employee appeal of the Rights Commissioner Decision ref:

**r-093215-pw-10/r-093221-pw-10/r-093230-pw-10/r-093231/pw-10/r-093232-pw-10** under the Payment of Wages Act, 1991.

## **Determination**

A number of claimant's lodged complaints against an individual as named in the Rights Commissioner Decision. This Person was not the correct employer but the owner of the limited company that should have been named as the correct employer; she was present at the Rights Commissioner Hearing. Instead of amending the proceedings to reflect the correct employer the Rights Commissioner decided that the claim was not well founded as the correct employer was not named.

1.1	1.1	ealed this			11			1 2
Unfortun	ately the T	ribunal have	e no jurisdi	ction to he	ear the a	appeal as	a different	entity was
named ir	the appea	l than was n	amed in the	e Rights Co	ommissi	oner deci	sion. Consec	quently the
appeal	of	the	Rights	Co	mmissio	ner	Decision	ref:
appear	OI	tiio	- 11-51115				2001011	
11		93221-pw-1	$\mathcal{C}$					

Sealed with the Seal of the
Employment Appeals Tribunal
This
(Sgd.)
(CHAIRMAN)