## EMPLOYMENT APPEALS TRIBUNAL

## CLAIMS OF:

CASE NO.
EMPLOYEE -Claimant
UD2431/2010
MN2368/2010
WT1068/2010
against
EMPLOYER - Respondent
under

## UNFAIR DISMISSALS ACTS, 1977 TO 2007 <br> MINIMUM NOTICE AND TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT ACTS, 1967 TO 2005 <br> ORGANISATION OF WORKING TIME ACT, 1997

I certify that the Tribunal (Division of Tribunal)

Chairman: Mr J. Fahy BL
Members: Mr B. O'Carroll
Ms H. Henry
heard these claims at Galway on 9 July 2012

## Representation:

Claimant:
Ms Dawn Carney, Sheehan \& Co. Solicitors, Augustine Court, St. Augustine Street, Galway
Respondent:
No appearance by or representation on behalf of the respondent
The determination of the Tribunal was as follows:

## Determination:

The Respondent in this case went into liquidation on 27 May 2012. While there was no appearance by or on its behalf at the hearing, the Liquidator consented to the hearing proceeding in his absence.

In cases such as these, the onus is on the Respondent to discharge the burden of proof in order to show that the dismissal was fair and reasonable. There being no appearance on behalf of the Respondent, this burden could not be discharged. Therefore, the dismissal is presumed to be unfair.

Based on the documentary evidence adduced by the claimant the Tribunal is satisfied that her normal weekly pay was €563-35. After considering the evidence of mitigation of loss the Tribunalawards $€ 7,000-00$ as being just and equitable under the Unfair Dismissals Acts, 1977 to 2007.

The Tribunal further awards $€ 1,126-70$, being two weeks’ pay, under the Minimum Notice andTerms of Employment Acts, 1967 to 2005.

The evidence having shown that the claimant received her entitlement of annual leave, the claim under the Organisation of Working Time Act, 1997 must fail.

Sealed with the Seal of the
Employment Appeals Tribunal

This $\qquad$
(Sgd.)
(CHAIRMAN)

