## EMPLOYMENT APPEALS TRIBUNAL

APPEAL OF: CASE NO.

EMPLOYEE MN1880/2010

- Appellant RP2637/2010

**Against** 

EMPLOYER - Respondent

under

## MINIMUM NOTICE AND TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT ACTS, 1973 TO 2005 REDUNDANCY PAYMENTS ACTS, 1967 TO 2007

I certify that the Tribunal (Division of Tribunal)

Chairman: Mr. D. Mac Carthy S C

Members: Mr R. Murphy

Mr. J. Dorney

heard this appeal at Naas on 15th February 2012.

## **Representation:**

Appellant: In person

Respondent: In person

The decision of the Tribunal was as follows:-

## **Determination:**

The respondent conceded that the appellant is entitled to a redundancy payment and the appellant conceded that the claim for notice would not succeed because of the service of an RP9.

The Tribunal finds that the appellant was dismissed by reason of redundancy and is entitled to a redundancy payment based on:

Date of Birth: 31st March 1959

Service from: 4<sup>th</sup> February 2004 to 15<sup>th</sup> June 2010

Normal Weekly

Remuneration: €600.00

Non-reckonable service: 11<sup>th</sup> September 2009 to 15<sup>th</sup> June 2010

Amount of Redundancy: €7332.00

This award is subject to the appellant having been in employment, which is insurable for all purposes under the Social Welfare Consolidation Act 2005.

On the evidence presented the Tribunal is satisfied that the respondent is unable to pay the amount of the Redundancy Lump Sum and that same is payable from the Social Insurance Fund.

The claim under the Minimum Notice and Terms of Employment Acts, 1973 to 2005 is dismissed.

Sealed with the Seal of the
Employment Appeals Tribunal
This
(Sgd.)(CHAIRMAN)