## EMPLOYMENT APPEALS TRIBUNAL

APPEAL(S) EMPLOYE		CASE NO. RP1162/2011 MN962/2011	
against			
against			
EMPLOYE	R		
under			
MINI		MENTS ACTS, 1967 TO 2007 OF EMPLOYMENT ACTS, 1973 TO 2005	
I certify that (Division of	t the Tribunal Tribunal)		
Chairman:	Ms. K.T. O'Mahony BL		
Members:	Mr. D. Hegarty Ms. H. Kelleher		
heard this appeal in Cork on 8 February 2012			
Representat	ion:		
Appellant(s) No leg	): gal or trade union representation		
Respondent No att	(s): endance or representation		
The decision	n of the Tribunal was as follows:	:-	
The appellant told the Tribunal that work had been decreasing in the respondent, it ultimately			

The appellant's position was that his employer had "abused" him and that he should have got

dried up and the business his now closed.

more money than he got. He acknowledged that he had received redundancy money from the government but said that he should have got six or seven hundred euro more. The Tribunalexplained to the appellant that the state only made payments based on a ceiling of €600.00 perweek (even though an employee like the appellant might have been earning a gross weekly wage such as €639.02 per week) and further that no redundancy money would be paid for the period when the appellant was on lay-off.

The appellant confirmed that he had received his holiday pay and his "week in hand". He also stated that he had received his notice but wanted more money.

## **Determination:**

Having considered the figures given by the appellant the Tribunal is satisfied that he received his full entitlements under the redundancy and minimum notice legislation. Accordingly, the appeal under the Redundancy Payments Acts, 1967 to 2007 and the claim under the Minimum Notice and Terms of Employment Acts, 1973 to 2005, fail.

Sealed with the Seal of the		
Employment Appeals Tribunal		
This		
(Sgd.)		
(CHAIRMAN)		