

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS TRIBUNAL

APPEAL(S) OF:
EMPLOYEE - first appellant

CASE NO.
RP2248/2010
MN1625/2010
WT745/2010

and

EMPLOYEE - second appellant

RP2249/2010
MN1626/2010
WT746/2010

and

EMPLOYEE - third appellant

RP2255/2010
MN1632/2010
WT752/2010

and

EMPLOYEE - fourth appellant

RP2256/2010
MN1633/2010
WT753/2010

against

EMPLOYER

under

REDUNDANCY PAYMENTS ACTS, 1967 TO 2007
MINIMUM NOTICE AND TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT ACTS, 1973 TO 2005
ORGANISATION OF WORKING TIME ACT, 1997

I certify that the Tribunal
(Division of Tribunal)

Chairman: Mr. G. Hanlon

Members: Mr. M. Carr
Mr. J. Moore

heard this case in Drogheda on 19 January 2012

Representation:

Appellant(s):

Mr. John King, SIPTU,
Connolly Hall, Palace Street,
Drogheda, Co. Louth

Respondent(s):

No legal representation

The decision of the Tribunal was as follows:-

The appellants' representative indicated to the Tribunal that any redundancy lump sum payments due had been made to the employees in question. The redundancy appeals in respect of the appellants were withdrawn.

The first appellant withdrew her claims under the Redundancy Payments Acts, 1967 to 2007, the Minimum Notice and Terms of Employment Acts, 1973 to 2005, and the Organisation of Working Time Act, 1997.

The other appellants did not attend the hearing to prosecute claims.

Determination:

The Tribunal notes that the appeals under the Redundancy Payments Acts, 1967 to 2007, were withdrawn.

In respect of the first appellant (NB) the Tribunal notes that the claims under the Minimum Notice and Terms of Employment Acts, 1973 to 2005, and the Organisation of Working Time Act, 1997, were withdrawn.

In respect of the other appellants, the Tribunal, noting that the claims under the Minimum Notice and Terms of Employment Acts, 1973 to 2005, and the Organisation of Working Time Act, 1997, were not prosecuted at the hearing, finds that the said claims fall for want of

prosecution.

Sealed with the Seal of the
Employment Appeals Tribunal

This _____

(Sgd.) _____
(CHAIRMAN)