EMPLOYMENT APPEALS TRIBUNAL

APPEAL(S) OF:	CASE NO.
EMPLOYE	E (appellant)	TE95/2010
against the	recommendation of the Rights Commissioner in the case of	:. :
EMPLOYE	CR (respondent)	
under		
TERMS O	F EMPLOYMENT (INFORMATION) ACT, 1994 AND	2001
I certify tha (Division of	t the Tribunal f Tribunal)	
Chairman:	Mr J. Revington S.C.	
Members:	Mr E. Handley Mr J. Jordan	
heard this a	ppeal at Dublin on 21st July 2011	
Representat	ion:	
Appellant(s):	
	hard Grogan & Associates, Solicitors, 16 & 17 College een, Dublin 2	

Respondent(s):

Ms. Mairead Crosby, Ibec, Confederation House, 84/86 Lower Baggot Street, Dublin 2

This case came before the Tribunal by way of an employee appeal of the Rights Commissioner Recommendation ref: r-083579-te-09/TB.

Claimant's case

The claimant's representative stated that the document of employment was merely an application form and did not set out the claimant's rate of pay, job description and holiday entitlements. The claimant was not given a copy of the document. The staff handbook does not specify holiday entitlements and does not comply with Section 3 of the Terms of Employment Act. The claimant earned a total of $\in 113$ per week.

Respondent's case

The respondent disputed a breach of the Terms of Employment Act, as the claimant's starter card, along with the company handbook complied with the Act. It is mentioned in the starter form that cleaning staff are paid as per the JLC rate. The actual rate of pay is verbally given to each employee. The respondent confirmed to the Tribunal that the rate of pay is not given in writing.

Determination

The Tribunal finds that the employer is in breach of the Terms of Employment Information Acts, 1994 and 2001, in that it does not state the rate of pay pursuant to Section 3(g) of the Act. Under the circumstances the Tribunal awards two weeks salary being a total of €226.

Sealed with the Seal of the
Employment Appeals Tribunal
This
(Sgd.)(CHAIRMAN)