EMPLOYMENT APPEALS TRIBUNAL					
APPEAL OF EMPLOYEE	·:		- appellant	CASE NO. RP900/2009	
against					
EMPLOYER			- respondent		
under	REDU	INDANCY PAYMEN	TS ACTS, 1967 TO 2007	,	
I certify that t (Division of T					
Chairman: Members:		Ms D. Donovan BL Mr J. Hennessy Mr F. Dorgan			
heard this appeal at Waterford on 30 th April 2010					
Representati	on:				
Appellant:	In person				
Respondent:	In person				
considered the relation to the legal practice in its employed. Tribunal were suitable altern Redundancy Fredundancy Fredundancy It Date of birth: Date of community Date of terming Gross weekly.	heard evidente evidence adde e appellant's of the Triburant, dealing was not satisfied the triburante employments and the employments are employed as a second encement; and the employed employ	employment as a result all accepts that the result all accepts that the result and accepts that the property side that any employment the tent. The Tribunal finds 1967 to 2007, the Tribunal for the following details 01st December 1977 20th June 2004 20th November 2008 €297.50	in this case. The Tribuds that a genuine redundant of the suspension of the spondent had intended to the respondent's busines he respondent could offer a for the appellant. Accordinal finds that the appellants:	ncy situation existed in the respondent's the appellant remain tess. However the to the appellant was dingly, under the the int is entitled to a	
Sealed with the	during the rele ne Seal of the Appeals Tribur	•			
Limployment	Tippeais Tiloui	uu			

This _____

(Sgd.) ____ (CHAIRMAN)