### **CORRECTING ORDER**

### **EMPLOYMENT APPEALS TRIBUNAL**

# APPEAL(S) OF:

Employee

CASE NO.

TU82/2006 (RP434/2005)

for implementation of the recommendation of the Rights Commissioner in the case of: Employer

under

### **TRANSFER OF UNDERTAKINGS REGULATIONS 2000**

I certify that the Tribunal (Division of Tribunal)

Chairman: Mr M. O'Connell B.L.

Members: Mr P. Pierson Mr P. Clarke

heard this appeal at Cavan on 8th January 2007

### **Representation:**

Appellant(s) : Mr. Peter McAleer, 11 Cherry Park, Clones, Co. Monaghan

Respondent(s) : No representation listed

This case came to the Tribunal by way of appeal for implementation of Rights Commissioner Recommendations R-032664-tu-05/DI.

The determination of the Tribunal was as follows:-

This Order corrects the original Order dated the January 16<sup>th</sup> 2007 and should be read in conjunction with that Order.

The correct title of the respondent company is XXXX

Sealed with the Seal of the

**Employment Appeals Tribunal** 

This \_\_\_\_\_

(Sgd.) \_\_\_\_\_

(CHAIRMAN)

### **EMPLOYMENT APPEALS TRIBUNAL**

## APPEAL(S) OF:

Employee

**CASE NO.** TU82/2006

for implementation of the recommendation of the Rights Commissioner in the case of: Employer

under

### **TRANSFER OF UNDERTAKINGS REGULATIONS 2000**

I certify that the Tribunal (Division of Tribunal)

Chairman: Mr M. O'Connell B.L.

Members: Mr P. Pierson Mr P. Clarke

heard this appeal at Cavan on 8th January 2007

### **Representation:**

Appellant(s) : Mr. Peter McAleer, 11 Cherry Park, Clones, Co. Monaghan

Respondent(s) : No representation listed

This case came to the Tribunal by way of appeal for implementation of Rights Commissioner Recommendations R-032664-tu-05/DI.

The determination of the Tribunal was as follows:-

### **Appellant's Case**

The appellant sought implementation in relation to R-032664-tu-05/DI where the Rights Commissioner found that a transfer of undertakings took place and the respondent was in breach of Regulation 4 and Regulation 8 of the European Communities (Protection of Employers on Transfer of Undertakings) Regulations (SI 2003/131) and awarded the sum of  $\in$ 1,000 and  $\in$ 800 respectively.

#### **Respondent's Case**

The respondent stated that he had not received a copy of the Rights Commissioner's recommendation in time to appeal the claim.

### Determination

The Tribunal orders the implementation of Rights Commissioner Recommendation R-032664-tu-05-DI by which the respondent was to pay them the sum of  $\in$ 1,000 in compensation in respect of the respondent's breach of Regulation 4 and compensation of  $\in$ 800 in respect of the respondent's breach of Regulation 8 of the European Communities (Protection of Employees on Transfer of Undertakings) Regulations (SI 2003/131).

Sealed with the Seal of the

**Employment Appeals Tribunal** 

This \_\_\_\_\_

(Sgd.) \_\_\_\_\_\_ (CHAIRMAN)